

# MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

# March 2020

Name of Operation	Endeavor Mine
Name of Licensee	Endeavor Operations Pty Ltd
Environmental Protection Licence	No: 1301
Reporting Period Start Date	1 March 2020
Reporting End Date	31 March 2020

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DUCTION	3
DRING RESULTS	3
st Monitoring	3
Dust Monitoring Methodology and Limits	4
Monitoring Locations	4
Dust Monitoring Data and Discussion	5
oundwater Monitoring	6
Monitoring Locations	6
Monitoring Results Discussion	8
lings Deposition	10
Tailings Deposition: Data and Discussion	10
	DRING RESULTS st Monitoring Dust Monitoring Methodology and Limits Monitoring Locations Dust Monitoring Data and Discussion pundwater Monitoring Monitoring Locations Monitoring Results Discussion

## **FIGURES LIST**

Figure 2.1	Dust monitoring gauge located in the project	3
Figure 2.2	Endeavor Mine Dust Monitoring Locations	5
Figure 2.3	Location of the Piezometer Monitoring Locations	8

# **TABLES LIST**

Table 2-1	Endeavor Mine Air Monitoring Requirements	4
Table 2-2	Dust monitoring results March 2020	5
Table 2-3	EPA Monitoring Stations	6
Table 2-4	EPA Monitoring Stations	7
Table 2-5	Groundwater monitoring results March 2020	9
Table 2-5	Tailings Deposition for March 2020	10

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

We at Endeavor Mine conduct systematic and periodic environmental monitoring of our operations to substantiate the effectiveness of our environmental controls which are in place to protect the environment, the health of our workers, our neighbours and the greater community. The results in this report correspond to the <u>March 2020</u>. This report publishes the summary of the environmental monitoring carried during this month for dust deposition, tailings deposition and groundwater. All monitoring is conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements and the EOPL Annual Environmental Monitoring Plan. Samples are collected and handled in accordance and compliance with regulatory requirements and taken to laboratories accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) for analysis.

# **2 MONITORING RESULTS**

## 2.1 Dust Monitoring

Air quality aspects and impacts associated with site operations are managed in accordance with the Air Quality Management Plan (END-PLN-ENV-006) and the requirement detailed in NSW Environmental Protection Licence 1301.

The Endeavor Mine is located 47 km from the nearest town (Cobar) and 4.5 km away for its nearest sensitive receptor (residential property). Therefore, dust deposition at these potential receptors is considered a low environmental risk.

Nevertheless, dust deposition on and beyond the boundary of the lease has the potential to cause environmental harm. Therefore Endeavor Mine manages airborne contaminants on site through the use of water sprays and a water trucks with depositional dust monitoring stations strategically located along the boundary of ML158/159/160/161 to measure performance.



**Figure 2.1** Dust monitoring gauge located in the project

## 2.1.1 Dust Monitoring Methodology and Limits

The Endeavor Mine Dust Monitoring Program measures dust deposition rates on a monthly basis at the main mining lease boundary (4 locations) and at a background location located 11km from the operating mine site (DDG 5 – Point ID 5). EP Licence 1301 does not set limits for dust deposition. However, these results are compared to the recommended limits outlined in *Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW 2005*. This guidance document recommends that the deposition rate for total insoluble matter when expressed as a 12 month rolling average should not exceed 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month and that site activities should not generate dust emissions which result in a dust deposition rate greater than 2 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month above background levels on a annual average. Table 2-1 describes the Pollutant, Units of Measure, Monitoring Frequency and Method of Sampling.

#### 2.1.2 Monitoring Locations

Point ID	Pollutant	Unit of measure	Frequency	Sampling Method
1	Particulates - Deposited	grams per square metre	Monthly	AM-19
	matter	per month		
2	Particulates - Deposited	grams per square metre	Monthly	AM-19
	matter	per month		
3	Particulates - Deposited	grams per square metre	Monthly	AM-19
	matter	per month		
4	Particulates - Deposited	grams per square metre	Monthly	AM-19
	matter	per month		
5	Particulates - Deposited	grams per square metre	Monthly	AM-19
	matter	per month		

#### **Table 2-1** Endeavor Mine Air Monitoring Requirements

As shown in the satellite image (Figure 2.2), there are 5 dust monitoring locations on the boundary of the lease, with one located 11kms from the site at the turnoff to the Mine site near the Louth Road. This station was positioned to establish background levels.

For Month Ending 31 March 2020



Figure 2.2 Endeavor Mine Dust Monitoring Locations

## 2.1.3 Dust Monitoring Data and Discussion

This report shows the results from the dust monitoring activities carried out during the month of March 2020 (Table 2-2). All values remain well under the recommended guidance values.

	Monitoring locations (Monitoring from 11/03/2020 to 09/04/2020								
Parameters	Unit	DDG1	DDG2	DDG3	DDG4	DDG5			
Total soluble matter	g/m2*month	1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1			
Total insoluble matter	g/m2*month	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.6	0.8			

## 2.2 Groundwater Monitoring

Deep regional groundwater flows to the south west, conforming to the structural dip of the underlying sedimentary rocks. Groundwater inflow into the mine is observed at a depth range of between 60 to 80 m below ground surface. A shallow, perched aquifer occurs is found in the vicinity of the Central Tailings Discharge CTD between approximately 0.5 to 13 m below ground surface. This aquifer is recharged by rainfall and seepage water from the operational TSF via a permeable gravelly soil layer in the area.

A review of groundwater characteristics undertaken by consultants Environmental Earth Sciences (EES) in 2013 indicates there is no interface between the shallow perched water and the deep regional aquifer.

Groundwater quality at the mine is generally poor due to the high salinity. The water has been sampled by NSW Water Conservation and Irrigation for the original Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) could be considered "brackish" and was found to have an electrical conductivity (EC) of 26,000  $\mu$ S/cm (sea water is approximately 30,000 us/cm). Further, it was noted that the water was not suitable for stock, domestic or farm use. Potential contamination of the groundwater would be of low risk due to the naturally poor quality of the water.

#### 2.2.1 Monitoring Locations

Endeavor Mine's groundwater monitoring locations are concentrated around the perimeter of the Central Tailings Discharge (CTD) and the Sector 5 Tailings Storage Facility (CTF), while surface water monitoring locations are focused on water storages that could potentially discharge to environment during a major rain or storm event. Table 2-3 describes the monitoring stations, where Figure 2.3 shows the locations of the piezometers. Depending on availability of water or flow, unfortunately on some occasions, piezometers cannot be monitored as a result of being dry. Parameters to be monitored are described in

#### Table **2-4**.

EPA ID	Type of monitoring point	Location description
9	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH02
10	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH02B
11	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH03
12	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH06
13	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH08A
14	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH09
15	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH10
16	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH10B
17	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH12B
18	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH14
19	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH15
20	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH16
25	Groundwater monitoring point	PZ Labeled as BH13

#### **Table 2-3** EPA Monitoring Stations

Pollutant	Unit of measure	Frequency	Sampling method
Arsenic	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Cadmium	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Calcium	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Chloride	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Copper	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Cyanide (total)	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Electrical conductivity	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Iron	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Lead	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Magnesium	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Manganese	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Mercury	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
рН	рН	Quarterly	Representative sample
Potassium	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Sodium	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Standing water level	metres	Quarterly	Representative sample
Sulfate	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Total dissolved solids	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample
Zinc	milligrams per litre	Quarterly	Representative sample

## Table 2-4 EPA Monitoring Stations




Figure 2.3 Location of the Piezometer Monitoring Locations

## 2.2.2 Monitoring Results Discussion

Groundwater monitoring was carried out during March 2020, the results are presented in Table 2-5.

## Monthly Environmental Report

For Month Ending 31 March 2020

Sample and Date – March 2019															
Monitoring Locat	Monitoring Locations (EPA ID)			10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	25
Standing Water	Levels (m	ı)	3.4	4.27	4.2	3.9	4.82	4.3	12.1	6.2	7.86	6.67	12.8	4.7	2.94
pH Value	Lab	pH Unit	7.4	7.34	7.27	6.36	7.39	7.48		7.54	7.45	7.71		7.1	7.5
Electrical Cond.	Lab	µS/cm	15300	18200	32100	14700	27400	19400		20300	28700	17200		15500	29800
Temp	Field	С	30.1	28.5	24.7	27.9	25.0	24.8		24.4	22.5	24.9		23.8	25.0
Total Dissolved Solids (	@180°C	mg/L	12800	16200	25100	13200	22900	18300		21000	19700	13400		11400	25100
Sulfate as SO4	-	mg/L	6090	6820	6060	6600	6730	8840		10300	5960	7110		3680	6530
Chloride		mg/L	1930	3480	8560	49	7100	3000		2920	7550	3030		3750	7840
Calcium		mg/L	634	596	389	567	737	525		584	834	574		662	938
Magnesium		mg/L	976	1180	996	1030	1370	1540		1860	1230	1390		493	1790
Sodium		mg/L	1680	2130	5320	1390	3710	2340		2430	4240	1940		2070	4060
Potassium		mg/L	103	95	204	88	154	224	*	186	236	150	*	83	194
Arsenic		mg/L	0.118	0.083	0.009	2.58	0.009	0.011		0.008	0.008	0.009		0.003	0.015
Cadmium		mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Copper		mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	0.001		0.002	0.001	0.001		< 0.001	< 0.001
Lead		mg/L	< 0.001	0.002	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.05		< 0.001	0.001	0.003		0.001	< 0.001
Manganese		mg/L	9.06	4.81	2.74	6.58	10.6	3.57		7.33	1.1	0.02		15	26.1
Zinc		mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.026	0.532	0.014	0.024		< 0.005	0.016	0.009		0.294	< 0.005
Iron		mg/L	3.18	< 0.05	2.16	344	0.32	0.14		< 0.05	0.08	< 0.05		9.77	4.25
Mercury		mg/L	< 0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Total Cyanide		mg/L	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	0.013	< 0.004	< 0.004		< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004		< 0.004	< 0.004

 Table 2-5 Groundwater monitoring results March 2020

\*Not enough water to sample

## 2.3 Tailings Deposition

Tailings (also known as tails or residue) are the material left over after the process of separating the valuable fraction from the uneconomic fraction (waste) of the ore. Tailings are distinct from overburden or waste rock or other material that overlies an ore or mineral body and is displaced during mining without being processed.

The volumes of tailings can be large and require an engineered storage and capacity to safely house them, Depending on the nature of the ore or the type of extraction process, tailings can have the potential to harm the environment unless they are deposited and managed correctly.

The reporting of monthly tailings deposition is a legislative requirement as part of EPL 1301.

## 2.3.1 Tailings Deposition: Data and Discussion

From the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2020 the Mine has entered into Care and Maintenance, no tailings have been produced as there are no ongoing operations.

		rotection Licence ng Point 7		rotection Licence ng Point 8	TOTAL
	Volume of tailings deposited (m <sup>3</sup> )	Mass of tailing solids deposited (DMT)	Volume of tailings deposited (KL)	Mass of tailing solids deposited (DMT)	Mass of tailing solids deposited (DMT) YTD
March 2020	-	-	-	-	-

#### Table 2-6 Tailings Deposition for March 2020